

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/689,186 10/20/2003 | | Sheng Ye | CN920020009US1 | 2701 | | |
| 36380 | 7590 06/23/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| RICHARD M. GOLDMAN 371 ELAN VILLAGE LANE | | | HILLERY, NATHAN | | | |
| SUITE 208, CA 95134 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| • | | | 2176 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006 | 5 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | 10/689,186 | | YE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Nathan Hille | ery | 2176 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appe | ears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum so- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c | TE OF THI 6(a). In no even Il apply and will cause the applic | S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE | N. hely filed the mailing date of this cool (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 19 Ma | v 2006. | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 12-19 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| • | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| ,— | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)[2] | 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 111 | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| ,— | · | to by the Exa | arimier. Not | e the attached Office | Action of form r | 10-132. | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| , | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ⊠ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * 5 | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 200 the attached actained Chief action for a list of the continue depice het received. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | tic) | | | • | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o | r PTO/SB/08) | | 5) | atent Application (PT | O-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/02/03</u> . 6) | | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/689,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

ſ

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Election filed on 5/19/06.

Claims 1 – 19 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 8, 12, and 16 are independent.
 Claims 12 – 19 have been elected for examination at this time.

Priority

- 3. Applicant is advised of possible benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), wherein an application for patent filed in the United States may be entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a prior application filed in a foreign country.
- 4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in China on 10/24/02. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 02147150.9 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a translation of the foreign application should be submitted under 37 CFR 1.55 in reply to this action.

Election/Restrictions

6. Applicant's election of claims 12 – 19 in the reply filed on 5/19/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/689,186 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Objections

7. Claims 12 – 19 are objected to because the claim limitations are organized confusingly, e.g. the use of the same letters and numbers repetitiously and the misuse of indentations. Obviating the objections requires that the Applicant go through each claim word-by-word, element-by-element, section-by-section and rewrite each claim so that every claim reads easily and is properly organized. Specifically, consistent use of indentations and/or numerals/letters so that related steps and elements are correctly grouped together is strongly recommended. Furthermore, it is unclear which limitations include sub-limitations. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 12 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 11. Regarding independent claim 12, it is unclear whether limitations i iii in lines 8 13 are all further limiting limitation c in line 6 or if limitation iii in line12 is further limiting limitation ii in line 11. Also, if limitation i in line 8 is further limiting limitation c in line 6,

Application/Control Number: 10/689,186

application/Control Hamber: 10/000, 10

Art Unit: 2176

then does everything associated with limitation c in line 6 occur first, since limitation i in line 8 occurs before the data searching step as recited in line 7?

Page 4

- 12. Further, this is not an exhaustive list but merely one example. It is advised that claim 12, and similarly claim 16, be examined word-by-word, line-by-line and limitation-by-limitation to ensure it properly and unambiguously conveys what Applicant wants to claim as his invention so that it can be understood by those whose native tongue is English and so that the Office can appropriately discern the metes and bounds of Applicant's claimed invention. It should be noted that the repetitive use of the same letters and numerals, e.g. a, b, and c and i, ii, and iii, is confusing. Using lower case and upper case letters and/or Roman numerals may be helpful.
- 13. Consequently, as indicated in the above discussion, the claims of the present invention are poorly organized and badly worded or phrased. Thus, at this time, the examiner deems it impractical to give a complete action on the merits for this application. However, the examiner has performed a search to locate, what is believed to be, the most relevant prior art. Applicant is encouraged to look at the prior art listed on the attached Form PTO-892 and amend the claims so as to distinguish the present invention from the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Hillery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4091. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 10:30 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,186 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dodg Hutton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2176

NH